

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bact 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/005,669	11/02/2001	Jie Yang	57172US002	7579	
32692	7590 06/28/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			MAKI, ST	MAKI, STEVEN D	
	IN 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1733		

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/005,669	YANG ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven D. Maki	1733	<i>U</i>			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 07 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) 🗵 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) 🗵 they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: new issues: see advisory action attachm	<u>ent</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see advisory action attachment.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22, 42 and 43</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
P. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/005,669

Art Unit: 1733

Advisory Action Attachment

new issues

The new issues include (1) in claim 1, adding "containing acrylic acid"; (2) in claim 42, deleting "wherein the layer of pressure sensitive adhesive is provided on an optical element" (emphasis added); and (3) in claim 43 changing "at least one of polycarbonate and acrylic" to "at least one of polycarbonate or acrylic". The remaining changes do not constitute new issues.

remarks

As to applicant's arguments regarding "containing acrylic acid", it is noted again that adding "containing acrylic acid" to claim 1 is a new issue.

Applicant argues that Staral fails to teach how to make an adhesive that has optical clarity and provides no indication that its adhesives are optically clear after aging at 90°C for 500 hours. More properly, Staral et al discloses how to formulate a pressure sensitive adhesive system that can be optically clear (col. 6 lines 19-22) and teaches that the adhesive system imparts little haze to the adhesive after curing so that it may be used in optical systems (col. 10 lines 9-12).

Applicant's argument that one skilled in the art of hybrid adhesives would not look to Ha for guidance is not persuasive since the adhesive in Ha, like the adhesive in Staral et al exhibits properties of a pressure sensitive adhesive.

After acknowledging that Ha describes its adhesive as having "high optical transparency", applicant argues that Ha's use of the adhesive to bond substrates together for DVD's does not generally require the optical clarity described by Applicant. Application/Control Number: 10/005,669

Art Unit: 1733

This argument is not persuasive since there is no evidence of record showing that use of adhesive to bond substrates together for DVD's does not generally require the optical clarity described by Applicant.

Applicant's remaining arguments are not persuasive for the reasons given in the last office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven D. Maki whose telephone number is (571) 272-1221. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven D. Maki June 25, 2004 STEVEN D. MAKI PRIMARY EXAMINER —GROUP 1300

AU 1733